# Effects of Forest Changes after the Abandonment of Slash-and-Burn Cultivation on the Beetle Diversity in Sarawak, Malaysia

Keiko Kishimoto-Yamada <sup>1\*</sup>, Takao Itioka <sup>1</sup>, Kuniyasu Momose <sup>2</sup>, Tohru Nakashizuka <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Takao Itioka Laboratory, Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Yoshida Nihonmatsu-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan \*E-mail: kky@mbox.kudpc.kyoto-u.ac.jp

<sup>2</sup> Department of Forest Resources, Ehime University, Japan <sup>3</sup> Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, Japan

# Introduction

Human impacts on the biodiversity of tropical forests are a widespread concern. To date, several studies have estimated these impacts by using various kinds of insect as indicators (e.g., Lawton et al. 1998; Liow et al. 2001). Such insect indicators have revealed a loss of species in secondary forests and cultivated fields compared to the original species diversity in tropical primary forests (e.g., Chey et al. 1997; Vasconcelos et al. 2000; Davis et al. 2001).

Slash-and-burn agriculture is an important and problematic human impact on forests, leading to significant changes. Around the primary forest of Sarawak, Malaysia, various kinds of forests have developed that represent different developmental stages after the abandonment of slash-and-burn cultivation. Microhabitat structures such as canopy openness, tree species richness, and tree density differ among these forests (Nakagawa et al. 2006). Have these forest changes affected on the insect diversity? Unfortunately, the effects of the different ages of the regenerated forests that resulted from the abandonment of slash-and-burn cultivation on insect diversity are poorly understood.

Since beetles exhibit extreme diversity in form and function, we chose the diversity of this taxon as a useful indicator of the effects of forest changes on biodiversity. In this study, we estimated the species richness, abundance, and composition of beetle assemblages in primary forests and in forests at different stages of regeneration after the abandonment of slash-and-burn cultivation.

### Methods

## Study Site

The study was conducted from August to September 2003 in and around Lambir Hills National Park, Sarawak, Malaysia (4°20'N, 113°50'E, 150 to 200 m a.s.l.). We established 12 study plots (100x20 m) in each of six forest types representing different stand ages: primary forest (plots H2 and H5), fragmented primeval forest (P2, P7), new fallow (1 year after abandonment; B1, B4), young fallow (5 to 6 years after abandonment; T1, T4), old fallow (>20 years after abandonment; F4, F5), and rubber plantation (G0, G9). Details of the study plots are provided by Nakagawa et al. (2006).

# **Insect Collections**

The beetles were collected in the 12 study plots using a net with a 150-cm stretch at its longest extent. At

each plot, we selected 100 thickets and swung a net 10 times in each thicket so that the sweeping covered ca.  $196.25 \text{ m}^2$  foliage in total at each plot. The collected beetles were sorted to the family and morphospecies level on the basis of external characteristics.

# Diversity and Similarity indices

Simpson's index of diversity (Lande 1996) was adopted to understand what degrees of the beetle diversity were at the 12 study plots. We also calculated Morishita's similarity index ( $C_{\lambda}$ ) (Morishita 1959) to assess the similarity of the chrysomelid fauna between pairs of plots in all combinations of the 12 study plots.

## **Results and Discussion**

#### Beetle family composition, species richness, and abundance

Of the 166 coleopteran families listed by Lawrence and Newton (1995), 54 families were recorded in the 12 study plots. In terms of the number of species, Chrysomelidae was the most abundant family (ca. 23% of the total) caught throughout the study period, followed by Curculionidae (9%) and Anthicidae (7%). In terms of the number of individuals, Chrysomelidae was the most abundant (32%), followed by Anthicidae (16%) and Coccinellidae (7%). In Sabah, Malaysia, the most abundant coleopteran families were Staphylinidae and Pselaphidae (Chung et al. 2000). The differences in family composition may have resulted from the sampling methods; Chung et al. used three different methods to collect beetles, including ground-dwelling beetles. The most abundant families in the present study were the plant-associated beetles (Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae) and potential predators of herbivorous insects (Anthicidae and Coccinellidae).

The total number of individuals captured during our study was 1937, representing at least 556 species. The number of species was lowest in the primary forest and new fallow plots, but the abundances (number of individuals) shown in the new fallow plots were high (Table 1). The diversity indices were lower in the new fallow plots than in the other plots; in all plots except the new fallow plots, the diversity indices tended to be high (> 0.95; Table 1). A previous analysis at our study site using various microhabitat structure variables (Nakagawa et al. 2006) distinguished three groups of forest types: (A) primary forest plots, (B) new fallow plots, and (C) other plots. Since the plots for groups A and C were characterized by relatively high basal area, tree density, and tree species richness, the resulting microhabitat structures may enhance the beetle

diversity (SID) of beetles in the 12 study plots									
Forest type Plot	orest type No. of Plot species		SID						
Primary forest									
H2	23	28	0.980						
H5	35	51	0.980						
Fragmented primeval forest									
P2	51	85	0.980						
P7	96	208	0.946						
New fallow									
B1	38	172	0.710						
B4	37	114	0.930						
Young fallow									
T1	100	218	0.990						
T4	70	129	0.975						
Old fallow									
F4	61	183	0.950						
F5	84	236	0.950						
Rubber plantation									
G0	G0 98 213 0.960								
G9	100	262	0.960						

Table 1 The number of species, abundances

(number of individuals), and Simpson's index of

diversity in these plots. The primary forest plots were relatively shady, with a thick canopy layer, and we never included any of the gaps that occasionally appeared in primary forests in our study. Thus, we may

have underestimated the species richness on the primary forest floor, because shady floor and brighter gaps are often mixed on the primary forest floor.

Species richness and abundance (number of individuals) data revealed that Chrysomelidae dominated most of the study plots (Table 2). Carabidae, most of which are carnivores, were abundant in one primary forest plot, but their numbers were low in other plots.

Table 2 List of top two abundant families in regard of species number and abundances in the 12 study plots										
Top two abundant families in regard of species richness										
H2	H5 .	P2	P7	B1	B4					
1 Curculionidae (36%)	Chrysomelidae (23%)	Chrysomelidae (24%)	Chrysomelidae (19%)	Chrysomelidae (32%)	Chrysomelidae (34%)					
2 Elateridae (14%)	Carabidae (11%)	Anthicidae (20%)	Anthicidae (18%)	Anthicidae (13%)	Coccinellidae (10%)					
Chrysomelidae (14%) Elateridae (10%)										
T1 .	T4	F4	F5	GD	G9					
1 Chrysomelidae (28%)	Chrysomelidae (27%)	Chrysomelidae (38%)	Chrysomelidae (30%)	Chrysomelidae (14%)	Chrysomelidae (22%)					
2 Anthicidae (7%)	Coccinellidae (11%)	Anthicidae (11%)	Anthicidae (10%)	Anthriidae (10%)	Coccinellidae (11%)					
Curculionidae (7%)				Curculionidae (10%)						
Top two abundant families in regard of abundances										
H2	H5 -	P2	P7	B1	B4					
1 Curculionidae (38%)	Carabidae (22%)	Chrysomelidae (25%)	Anthicidae (23%)	Anthicidae (59%)	Chrysomelidae (49%)					
2 Chrysomelidae (10%)	Chrysomelidae (20%)	Anthicidae (22%)	Ptinidae (20%)	Chrysomelidae (27%)	Anthicidae (12%)					
Coccinellidae (10%)										
Elateridae (10%)										
T1	T4	F4	F5	GD	G9					
1 Chrysomelidae (23%)	Chrysomelidae (40%)	Chrysomelidae (42%)	Chrysomelidae (50%)	Chrysomelidae (30%)	Chrysomelidae (30%)					
2 Anthicidae (16%)	Phalacridae (9%)	Cantharidae (16%)	Cantharidae (9%)	Anthicidae (12%)	Cantharidae (15%)					
Numbers in the parentheses represent the propotion of the family to total species or individuals captured in each plot										

### Distribution of species-abundance in the 12 study plots

The species rank-abundance curves showed that the primary forest plots and one of the fragmented primeval forest plots had relatively shallow curves compared to those of the other plots (Fig. 1). This suggests that the evenness of the species abundance in the former plots is high. A single species of Anthicidae dominated (more than half of all individuals) in one new fallow plot (B1; Fig. 1). Such dominant species may be rare in primary forests and forests that retain most of the characteristics of primary forests.



Fig. 1 Species rank-abundance curves for the beetles at the 12 study plots

79

#### Chrysomelid fauna in the 12 study plots

For the paired combinations of the same forest type, we found high similarity indices for the chrysomelid fauna in primary forest, new fallow, and old fallow, but the similarity indices were low between the two plots belonging to the fragmented primeval forest, young fallow, and rubber plantation (Table 3). The highest similarity index (0.990) was between H5 and G9 (Table 3), suggesting that the chrysomelid faunas in these plots strongly resemble each other; however, the microhabitat structures of these two plots were not particularly similar (Nakagawa et al. 2006). The chrysomelid species found in the new fallow plots rarely appeared in other plots and never appeared in primary forest and fragmented primeval forest (Fig. 2). Only two species caught in some plots (P7, T1, F4, G0, G9) were found in the primary forest plots (Fig. 2), suggesting that few species that inhabit deforested areas can invade primary forests.

Table 3 Similarity o	of chr	ysomelid	fauna (	C;)	) of the	12	study	plots
----------------------	--------	----------	---------	-----	----------	----	-------	-------

·····												
	H2	H5	P2	P7	B1	B4	T1	T4	F4	F5	G0	69
H2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H5	0.950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P2	0.000	0.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P7	0.000	0.690	0.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
B1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
B4	0.000	0.000	0.070	0.000	0.680	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T1	0.000	0.250	0.170	0.150	0.130	0.110	-	-	-	-	-	-
T4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.390	0.410	0.170	-	-	-	-	-
F4	0.000	0.160	0.630	0.280	0.006	0.060	0.210	0.050	-	-	-	-
F5	0.000	0.380	0.730	0.340	0.008	0.070	0.220	0.010	0.700	-	-	-
G0	0.000	0.030	0.000	0.030	0.000	0.008	0.130	0.070	0.130	0.020	-	-
G9	0.000	0.990	0.020	0.420	0.070	0.060	0.230	0.060	0.290	0.330	0.080	-



Fig. 2 Species overlap of chrysomelid assemblages among the 12 study plots

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Forest Department of Sarawak and the Sarawak Forestry Corporation for their permission to conduct this study and for their assistance with our fieldwork. This study was financially supported by RIHN research project 2–2.

## References

- Chey VK, Holloway JD, Speight MR (1997) Diversity of moths in forest plantations and natural forests in Sabah. Bull Entomol Res 87:371–385
- Chung AYC, Eggleton P, Speight MR, Hammond PM, Chey VK (2000) The diversity of beetle assemblages in different habitat types in Sabah, Malaysia. Bull Entomol Res 90:475–496
- Davis A J, Holloway JD, Huijbregts H, Krikken J, Kirk-Spriggs AH, Sutton SL (2001) Dung beetles as indicators of change in the forests of northern Borneo. J Anim Ecol 38:593–616
- Lande R (1996) Statistics and partitioning of species diversity, and similarity among multiple communities. Oikos 76:5–13
- Lawrence JF, Newton AF Jr (1995) Families and subfamilies of Coleoptera (with selected genera, notes, references and data on family-group names). In: Pakaluk J, Slipinski SA (eds) Biology, phylogeny, and classification of Coleoptera papers celebrating the 80th Birthday of Roy A. Crowson. Muzeum i Instytut Zoologii PAN, Warszawa, pp 779–1006
- Lawton JH, Bignell DE, Bolton B, Bloemers GF, Eggleton P, Hammond PM, Hodda M, Holt RD, Srivastava DS, Watt AD (1998) Biodiversity inventories, indicator taxa and effects of habitat modification in tropical forest. Nature 391:72–76
- Liow LH, Sodhi N, Elmqvist T (2001) Bee diversity along a disturbance gradient in tropical lowland forests of south-east Asia. J Appl Ecol 38: 80–192
- Morishita M (1959) Measuring of interspecific association and similarity between communities. Mem Fac Sci Kyushu Univ Ser E (Biol) 3:65–80
- Nakagawa M, Miguchi H, Nakashizuka T (2006) The effects of various forest uses on small mammal communities in Sarawak, Malaysia. For Ecol Manage 231:55–62
- Vasconcelos HL, Vilhena JMS, Caliri GJA (2000) Responses of ants to selective logging of a central Amazonian forest. J Appl Ecol 37:508–514