

# **A study on understanding of relationship between socio-economic development and water supply and demand structure change in Yellow River basin**

Akio Onishi\*, Hidefumi Imura\*\*, Hiroaki Shirakawa\*\* and Yoshihiro Fukushima\*

\*Research Institute for Humanity and Nature (RIHN), \*\* Nagoya University

## **Abstract**

Increases in water demand associated with rapid socio-economic development frequently led to water shortages in the Yellow River basin from the early 1970s until the late 1990s. To devise countermeasures for such water shortages, it is important to determine water demand from each region and sector (agricultural, industrial, domestic). This basin covers a vast area, and local industrial structure and natural conditions vary immensely. These societal and natural features lead to seasonal changes in water usage and water resource availability. The temporal and spatial structure of water supply and demand for the entire basin are analyzed in this study, by county/city and by season, considering local and seasonal variations, in order to contribute to discussions about water resource management in the basin. This study puts a special emphasis on water supply and demand imbalances, by reconstructing the structure of water supply and demand between 1997 and 2000.

**Key words** Yellow River basin; water resource management; water supply and demand balance; water shortage

## **INTRODUCTION**

The Yellow River basin suffers from severe water shortage. The annual average water resource available was about 580 m<sup>3</sup> per capita, about 6 % of the global average, and about 24 % of the China's average. Meanwhile, the total water demand in the basin has been keeping increase as a result of population growth, the expansion of irrigation areas as well as industrialization and urbanization. The annual demand exceeded 30 billion m<sup>3</sup> in recent years. While the basin experienced rapid growth, there have also been water shortages, resulting in severe water shortage in the river in 1997. Thus, it is important to understand the water supply and demand, by region and sector in order to provide basis for policy making to control the severe water shortage and enhance the efficient use of water resource.

Several researches have studied to determine the water supply and demand balance or to promote integrated water management over the entire Yellow River basin. Examples of research that analyzes the impacts of water supply and demand constraints on the region's society and economy include a report by the World Bank (2001) and another by the Chinese Academy of Engineering (2001). It is, however, generally difficult to obtain the data and literature on which these reports are based. Thus, this study developed a model to describe spatial diagram of the monthly supply and demand structures of the 305 counties and cities from 1997 to 2000, using statistical reports and announcements released officially in China. Through the analysis, it becomes possible to consider the impact of resource balances between water supply and demand, and to explain the mechanism of water shortage in the river.

## **METHOD: WATER RESOURCES SUPPLY AND DEMAND MODEL**

In order to understand the mechanisms of water resource imbalance, it is essential to

analyze the availability of the water supply and the structure of demand system. In this context, supply and demand is calculated at county and city level as the smallest administrative unit in China. Then, this study focuses on the water supply and demand balance, considering the cascade relationship of water resources upstream to downstream.

**Socio-economic framework**

Population and GDP were taken from various statistical reports (China Statistics Bureau, 2001a; China Statistics Bureau, 2001b; Provincial Statistics Bureau, 1998-2001). Industrial sector’s outputs were estimated using a model on the ratio of GDP accounted in primary, secondary and tertiary industries (Japan Bank for International Cooperation, 2004). In order to assess the monthly values of population and GDP, the yearly data is assumed to be the value of December. Then by calculating the monthly growth rate, the rest month’s value can be achieved.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \ln\left(\frac{1}{1-\gamma_1}-1\right) &= a_1 \ln y + b_1 \dots\dots\dots primary\ industry \\ \ln\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_3}-1\right) &= a_2 \ln y + b_2 \dots\dots\dots tertiary\ industry \\ \gamma_2 &= 1-\gamma_1-\gamma_3 \dots\dots\dots sec\ ond\ industry \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Where  $y$  is the per capita gross domestic product (yuan);  $\gamma$  is the ratio of industrial production; and 1..3 denotes industrial structures. Coefficients of  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  were estimated by based on provincial time-series data for the years 1952 to 2000.

**Water demand module**

**Agriculture water**

This study calculates irrigation water demand on a monthly basis.

$$IW_i = \sum_m (IWU_{i,m} \times IA_{i,m}) \quad (2)$$

Where  $IW_i$  is the Irrigation Water of each county/city  $i$ ;  $IWU_{i,m}$  is the crop type  $m$  of Irrigation Water Unit of each county/city  $i$ ;  $IA_{i,m}$  is the crop type  $m$  of Irrigation Area of each county/city  $i$ . The crop type  $m$  is included 8 different crop types. Among the irrigation constants, in sections indicating amount of water used per unit of area on irrigated land, data are available in the Chinese Academy of Engineering (2001), Ministry of Water Resources (1997-2000), and Sun *et al.* (2001). Consumption patterns by crop and by month are referred from Xu *et al.* (2004) and research by Yang *et al.* (2004). For the effective irrigation area data is obtained by China Statistics Bureau (2001a) and China Statistics Bureau (2001b). Furthermore, crop irrigation area data are not published for county or city level, and the sown area of crops is only available at provincial level (China Statistics Bureau, 1998-2001). Thus, the irrigation area in each

county or city is calculated according to the same provincial proportion.

### Industrial water

The amount of water for industrial use is calculated by multiplying the water usage per unit of industrial production (cubic meters per 10,000 yuan) (hereinafter the industrial water standard unit). The estimates of industrial production for each county and city are calculated by the following formula, using the values for the secondary industry ratio which is obtained from equation (1).

$$\text{Cities: } Y_i = 3.0986 \times GDP_i \times \gamma_{2,i} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Counties (region minus cities): } Y_i = 3.6751 \times GDP_i \times \gamma_{2,i} \quad (4)$$

Where  $Y_i$  is the gross industrial production of each county/city  $i$ ;  $GDP_i$  is the gross domestic product of each county/city  $i$ .

$$WI_i = \sum_k (Y_{i,k} \times w_{i,k} \times f_{i,k}), \quad Y_{i,k} = Y_i \times r_{i,k} \quad (5)$$

Where  $WI_i$  is the amount of Water for Industrial use in county/city  $i$ ;  $Y_{i,k}$  is the amount of industrial production of industry  $k$  in county/city  $i$ ;  $w_{i,k}$  is the water usage per unit of industry  $k$  in county/city  $i$ ;  $f_{i,k}$  is the water recovery ratio of industry  $k$  in county/city  $i$ ;  $r_{i,k}$  is the industrial ratio of industry  $k$  in county/city  $i$ ; Industry  $k$  is included 20 different industrial sectors. Here, for the calculation methodology for each industry  $w_{i,k}$  and  $f_{i,k}$ , we refer to methodologies used by the Japan Bank for International Cooperation (2004).

### Domestic water

The projection of domestic water use of each county/city  $i$ ,  $DW_i$  comprises two parts. This study makes separate estimates for the amounts of urban domestic water uses  $Piped\_DW_i$  (amount of water provided by municipal water supply systems), and rural domestic water uses  $NonPiped\_DW_i$ . ①The urban domestic water uses  $Piped\_DW_i$  is calculated by urban non-agricultural population  $Pop_i$ , diffusion rate of urban water supply systems  $S_i$ , and the unit domestic water use  $Piped\_dw_i$  (liters/person/day). ②The rural domestic water uses  $NonPiped\_DW_i$  is calculated by same method, urban agricultural population and rural agricultural population  $Pop_i'$ , diffusion rate of water supply systems  $S_i'$ , and unit water use  $NonPiped\_dw_i$  (liters/person/day).

$$DW_i = Piped\_DW_i + NonPiped\_DW_i \quad (6)$$

$$Piped\_DW_i = Piped\_dw_i \times Piped\_Pop_i, \quad Piped\_Pop_i = Pop_i \times S_i \quad (7)$$

$$NonPiped\_DW_i = NonPiped\_dw_i \times NonPiped\_Pop_i, \quad NonPiped\_Pop_i = Pop_i' \times S_i' \quad (8)$$


Because the values for  $Pop_i$  and  $Pop_i'$  are annual amounts (China Statistics Bureau, 2001a; China Statistics Bureau 2001b), by calculating the monthly growth rate, the rest month's value can be assessed.

## Water resource module

Information regarding the amount of water resources at provincial level or at the overall river basin level can be obtained from the Ministry of Water Resources (1997-2000), Yellow River Conservancy Commission (1997-2000). Documentation from China uses the term "water resource" to indicate the amount of water that humans can actually use in terms of surface water and groundwater. However, it is difficult to obtain the county or city level's water resource amount from published literature. Thus, we allocated the amount of water resources for the entire river basin according to the proportion of precipitation in each county or city, and used the results as the amount of water resources for each county or city.

## Water resource cascade

The catchment area is defined, composed of the main channel and tributaries, from the Digital Elevation Model (DEM). Firstly, combining the water catchment boundaries so defined with county/city administrative boundaries, we assign the counties/cities with the largest areas to the respective water catchment areas. Next, the county/city sequence is determined in accordance with the flow from upstream to downstream of each catchment area. As this study's aim is a general analysis of the entire river basin, we consider the eight tributaries separately from the main course of the river (Fig.1).



**Fig.1** Main channel and tributaries of the Yellow River basin: ① Upstream, ② Midstream, ③ Downstream belong to the main channel of the Yellow River; ID, Irrigation District.

## Water consumption rate

It is necessary to estimate how much of the water used is returned to the river. This study uses water consumption rate from Sun *et al.* (2001) and Yellow River Conservancy Commission (1997-2000). To summarize the above points, the water demand in each sector is represented by the water intake, and the amount of water consumption is this amount multiplied by the water consumption rate.

To compile the above methods, *Outflow* of county/city *i* is shown as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{Outflow}_i = \text{Outflow}_{i-1} + WR_i - IWC_i - WIC_i - DWC_i \\
 \leftarrow \text{Natural river flow} \rightarrow \\
 \leftarrow \text{Actual river flow} \rightarrow
 \end{array}
 \tag{9}$$

Where  $WR_i$  is the amount of Water Resource;  $IWC_i$ ,  $WIC_i$ ,  $DWC_i$  is the water consumption of each sector.


## RESULTS: WATER SUPPLY AND DEMAND STRUCTURE

The results are summarized in Table 1. The following points can be noted: (1) most of water resources comes from upstream region, (2) in all basins in Yellow River, the amount of water resources was lower in 1997 compared with those in other years, (3) the amount of water consumption is large in the upstream and downstream regions, (4) the amount of water consumption in the downstream region exceeds the amount of available water resources from 1997 to 2000, (5) Industrial and domestic water consumption gradually increase. Fig. 2 shows the temporal and spatial distribution of water resources and consumption, along the main channel of the Yellow River, from upstream to downstream (tributaries are omitted due to space limitations). The results of water supply and demand imbalances are shown by county/city. The following points can be noted: (1) large amounts of water resources are supplied from areas upstream of Lanzhou, and the amounts supplied during summer are largest, (2) in downstream region with large irrigated areas, the amount of water consumption chronically exceeds the amount of water resources, (4) the amount of water consumption exceeds water resources in the Hetao irrigation district from April through August. As a result of imbalances in these counties/cities, downstream along the main course of the Yellow River, the actual river flow volume became zero from January through June (dashed borders in Fig. 2).

**Table.1** Annual water supply and demand structure by regions from 1997–2000.

|      | ① Upstream                    |                               |                               |                               |                              | ② Midstream                   |                               |                               |                               |                              | ③ Downstream                  |                               |                               |                               |                              |
|------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
|      | $IWC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $WIC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $DWC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $TWC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $WR$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $IWC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $WIC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $DWC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $TWC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $WR$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $IWC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $WIC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $DWC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $TWC$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) | $WR$<br>( $10^8\text{m}^3$ ) |
| 1997 | 63.39                         | 9.22                          | 2.91                          | 75.52                         | 207.89                       | 18.83                         | 4.50                          | 2.95                          | 26.29                         | 56.91                        | 116.56                        | 7.55                          | 5.19                          | 129.29                        | 24.42                        |
| 1998 | 62.87                         | 10.01                         | 3.04                          | 75.91                         | 276.45                       | 18.82                         | 4.70                          | 3.01                          | 26.52                         | 82.33                        | 115.90                        | 8.03                          | 5.32                          | 129.25                        | 35.32                        |
| 1999 | 71.47                         | 11.81                         | 3.54                          | 86.82                         | 259.59                       | 20.42                         | 5.37                          | 3.16                          | 28.96                         | 78.12                        | 114.06                        | 9.12                          | 5.53                          | 128.72                        | 31.59                        |
| 2000 | 67.27                         | 12.08                         | 4.01                          | 83.36                         | 230.36                       | 19.79                         | 5.42                          | 3.23                          | 28.44                         | 77.18                        | 92.14                         | 9.69                          | 5.74                          | 107.57                        | 35.79                        |
|      | ④ Taohe basin                 |                               |                               |                               |                              | ⑤ Huangshui basin             |                               |                               |                               |                              | ⑥ Dahe basin                  |                               |                               |                               |                              |
| 1997 | 2.56                          | 0.77                          | 0.56                          | 3.89                          | 16.15                        | 5.07                          | 0.96                          | 0.67                          | 6.70                          | 40.26                        | 18.46                         | 1.12                          | 0.57                          | 20.15                         | 7.43                         |
| 1998 | 2.54                          | 0.83                          | 0.56                          | 3.93                          | 25.25                        | 5.02                          | 1.06                          | 0.59                          | 6.67                          | 47.86                        | 18.15                         | 1.19                          | 0.58                          | 19.92                         | 9.11                         |
| 1999 | 2.71                          | 0.96                          | 0.67                          | 4.34                          | 25.84                        | 5.47                          | 1.13                          | 0.67                          | 7.27                          | 51.51                        | 20.30                         | 1.30                          | 0.60                          | 22.20                         | 8.25                         |
| 2000 | 3.31                          | 0.90                          | 0.71                          | 4.92                          | 25.49                        | 6.22                          | 1.19                          | 1.35                          | 8.76                          | 35.30                        | 18.58                         | 1.46                          | 0.79                          | 20.84                         | 8.12                         |
|      | ⑦ Wudinghe basin              |                               |                               |                               |                              | ⑧ Qinhe basin                 |                               |                               |                               |                              | ⑨ Luohe basin                 |                               |                               |                               |                              |
| 1997 | 0.98                          | 0.33                          | 0.45                          | 1.76                          | 10.64                        | 2.90                          | 3.33                          | 0.68                          | 6.90                          | 6.86                         | 5.66                          | 2.03                          | 1.44                          | 9.13                          | 7.65                         |
| 1998 | 0.98                          | 0.36                          | 0.46                          | 1.80                          | 16.08                        | 2.83                          | 3.18                          | 0.63                          | 6.64                          | 10.01                        | 5.49                          | 2.14                          | 1.47                          | 9.10                          | 12.01                        |
| 1999 | 1.01                          | 0.40                          | 0.51                          | 1.92                          | 13.71                        | 3.33                          | 3.30                          | 0.62                          | 7.26                          | 9.88                         | 6.70                          | 2.52                          | 1.59                          | 10.81                         | 10.38                        |
| 2000 | 1.10                          | 0.39                          | 0.59                          | 2.07                          | 18.15                        | 3.08                          | 2.94                          | 0.58                          | 6.60                          | 9.60                         | 6.08                          | 2.64                          | 1.54                          | 10.25                         | 11.90                        |
|      | ⑩ Fenne basin                 |                               |                               |                               |                              | ⑪ Weihe basin                 |                               |                               |                               |                              | Entire basin                  |                               |                               |                               |                              |
| 1997 | 11.24                         | 4.75                          | 2.14                          | 18.13                         | 18.24                        | 28.35                         | 12.68                         | 6.16                          | 47.20                         | 72.59                        | 274.01                        | 47.25                         | 23.70                         | 344.96                        | 469.04                       |
| 1998 | 11.09                         | 4.80                          | 2.21                          | 18.10                         | 27.07                        | 28.07                         | 13.61                         | 6.38                          | 48.05                         | 109.37                       | 271.76                        | 49.91                         | 24.25                         | 345.91                        | 650.88                       |
| 1999 | 10.45                         | 5.27                          | 2.31                          | 18.02                         | 23.70                        | 29.10                         | 15.47                         | 7.40                          | 51.97                         | 108.24                       | 285.03                        | 56.65                         | 26.60                         | 368.28                        | 620.81                       |
| 2000 | 10.81                         | 4.91                          | 2.25                          | 17.97                         | 22.82                        | 31.53                         | 15.07                         | 8.37                          | 54.96                         | 104.86                       | 259.91                        | 56.70                         | 29.14                         | 345.76                        | 579.57                       |

Notes:  $TWC$ , Total Water Consumption ( $TWC=IWC+WIC+DWC$ )



**Fig.2** Monthly water supply and demand structure by county/city in 1997: (a)-(l) show spatial distribution of water resources and consumption; (a)-(l') show natural river flows and actual river flows; ①Lanzhou, ②Qingtongxia, ③Yinchuan, ④Baotou, ⑤Sanmenxia, ⑥Puyang, ⑦Jinan, ⑧Hetao ID, ⑨downstream ID; the inflow from tributaries to the main channel, they join the Yellow River at the county/city where they pour into the main course of the river.

## CONCLUSIONS

This study presents a model helping examine the mechanism of water supply and demand imbalance in Yellow River basin during the period of 1997 and 2000. The water shortage was caused not only by the lack of water resources, but also by the excessive consumption by agricultural sector, especially in the irrigation areas of upstream and downstream regions during spring. In addition, the industrial and domestic water demand exhibits a tendency of increasing in the context of rapid socio-economic development. Therefore, it is crucial to control the water demand efficiently in order to achieve the sustainable development. For this purpose, the model presented in this study can provide bases for the integrated water resource management of watershed, especially in the Yellow River basin.

**Acknowledgements** This study was conducted as a part of the “Recent rapid changes of water circulation in the Yellow River and its effects on the environment” project of the Research Institute for Humanity and Nature (National Institutes for the Humanities, Japan) and the “Sustainable watershed management in the Yellow River” project of Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST) at the Japan Science and Technology Agency. The authors express their sincere appreciation for support received.

## REFERENCES

- China Statistics Bureau (2001a) *China County Statistical Yearbook*, China Statistics Press.
- China Statistics Bureau (2001b) *Urban Statistical Yearbook of China*, China Statistics Press.
- China Statistics Bureau (1998-2001) *China Statistical Yearbook*, China Statistics Press.
- Chinese Academy of Engineering (2001) *A Series of Reports on Water Resource Strategies for China's Sustainable Development Vol.2*, Water Publication Company of China.
- Japan Bank for International Cooperation (2004) *Issues and Challenges for Water Resources in North China: Case of Yellow River Basin*, *JBIC Research Paper 28*.
- Ministry of Water Resources (1997-2000) *China Water Resources Gazette*, Ministry of Water Resources.
- Provincial Statistics Bureau (1998-2001) *Provincial Statistical Yearbooks (Shandong, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Henan, Shaanxi, Sichuan, Gansu, Qinghai, Ningxia)*, Each Provincial Statistics Press.
- Sun, G., Qiao, X. & Sun, S (2001) *Yellow River Water Resources Management*, Yellow River Water Conservancy Press.
- World Bank, Sinclair Knight Merz and Egis Consulting Australia, the General Institute of Water Resources & Hydropower Planning and Design (2001) *China Agenda for Water Sector Strategy for North China*, Vol. 1-4.
- Xu, D., Cai, L. & Mao, Z. (2004) *Research on Water Conservation Technology Applications in the Yellow River Irrigation District*, China agriculture publishing.
- Yang, D., Li, C., Hu, H., Lei, Z., Yang, S., Kusuda, T., Koike, T. & Musiaka K. (2004), Analysis of water resources variability in the Yellow River of China during the last half century using historical data, *Water Resour. Res.*, **40**, W06502, doi:10.1029/2003WR002763.
- Yellow River Conservancy Commission (1997-2000) *Yellow River Water Resources Gazette*, Yellow River Conservancy Commission.